

Table of Contents

Summary	S-1
S.1	PURPOSE AND NEED	S-1
S.2	PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	S-2
S.2.1	No-Build Alternative	S-2
S.2.2	Build Alternatives	S-3
S.2.2.1	BRT Alignment	S-3
S.2.2.2	Transitway	S-4
S.2.2.3	Stations	S-4
S.2.2.4	Other Elements	S-7
S.3	TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS	S-7
S.3.1	Changes to Corridor Transit Services with Proposed Build Alternatives.....	S-7
S.3.2	Transit Performance	S-13
S.3.3	Traffic Impacts	S-13
S.3.4	Pedestrian Impacts	S-14
S.3.5	Bicycle Impacts	S-15
S.3.6	Parking Impacts	S-15
S.4	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION MEASURES	S-18
S.5	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	S-26
S.5.1	Assessment of Cumulative Impacts: Regional Context.....	S-26
S.5.2	Assessment of Cumulative Impacts: Local Context	S-27
S.6	CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT EVALUATION	S-28
S.7	CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION	S-28
S.7.1	Project Organization and Public Participation	S-28
S.7.2	Agency Consultations	S-29
S.8	FINANCIAL ANALYSIS	S-30
S.8.1	Capital Costs and Funding Options.....	S-30
S.8.2	Operating Costs and Funding Options	S-30
S.8.3	Cash Flow Analysis	S-31
S.8.4	Risk Analysis.....	S-31
S.8.5	Financial Analysis Conclusion	S-32
S.9	EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES	S-32
S.9.1	Improve Transit Service in Project Corridor.....	S-32
S.9.2	Increase Transit Ridership.....	S-34
S.9.3	Improve and Maintain Efficiency of Transit.....	S-35
S.9.4	Support Local and Regional Planning Goals.....	S-35
S.9.5	Environmental Impacts	S-36
S.10	ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED	S-37
Chapter 1	Purpose of and Need for Project	1-1
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1.1	Project Location	1-2
1.1.2	Uses of this Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report.....	1-2
1.2	PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT	1-6
1.2.1	Project Purpose	1-6
1.2.2	Project Need	1-6
1.2.2.1	Conditions that Discourage Transit Use.....	1-6
1.2.2.2	Service Inefficiencies that Drive Up AC Transit's Costs	1-7
1.2.2.3	Capacity and Reliability Constraints Compromise Accessibility	1-8
1.2.2.4	Delays in Boarding	1-9
1.2.2.5	Future Travel Demand Means Increased Congestion	1-10
1.2.2.6	Corridor Characteristics Indicate Additional Demand for Transit.....	1-12
1.2.2.7	Support Transit-Oriented Residential and Commercial Development of the Corridor	1-15

1.2.2.8	Better Serve Low-Income and Transit-Dependent Populations in the Project Corridor	1-19
1.3	PROJECT BACKGROUND.....	1-19
1.3.1	Major Investment Study.....	1-19
1.3.2	Funding and Programming.....	1-23
1.3.3	Related Projects and Planning.....	1-24
1.3.3.1	City of Berkeley.....	1-24
1.3.3.2	City of Oakland	1-24
1.3.3.3	City of San Leandro	1-25
1.3.3.4	Countywide Projects	1-25
1.3.3.5	Statewide Projects	1-26
1.3.4	Permits and Approvals Required	1-26
Chapter 2	Project Alternatives	2-1
2.1	ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	2-1
2.1.1	AC Transit/Berkeley/Oakland/San Leandro Major Investment Study	2-1
2.1.2	Service Objectives.....	2-1
2.1.3	MIS Evaluation of Vehicle/Transit Mode and Corridor Alternatives	2-2
2.1.3.1	Vehicle/Transit Mode	2-2
2.1.3.2	Alignment Alternatives	2-2
2.1.4	Identification of a Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA)	2-3
2.1.4.1	Locally Preferred Alternative: Mode (Vehicle and Features).....	2-3
2.1.4.2	Locally Preferred Alternative: Alignment	2-3
2.1.5	Draft EIS/EIR Scoping Process.....	2-4
2.2	PROJECT ALTERNATIVES.....	2-4
2.2.1	No-Build Alternative.....	2-4
2.2.2	Build Alternatives: Alignment, Service Options, and Features.....	2-11
2.2.2.1	Alignment and Southern Terminus.....	2-11
2.2.2.2	Service Options (Operating Plan).....	2-11
2.2.2.3	Definition of Build Alternatives	2-12
2.2.2.4	Failure Operations (Service Interruptions and Breakdowns).....	2-28
2.2.3	Build Alternatives: Alignment Variations	2-29
2.2.3.1	Downtown Berkeley Segment: University Avenue to Bancroft Way/ Durant Avenue	2-31
2.2.3.2	Berkeley Southside Segment: Oxford/Fulton Street to Telegraph Avenue/ Dwight Way.....	2-35
2.2.3.3	Berkeley—North Telegraph Avenue Segment: Telegraph Avenue/Dwight Way to Berkeley/Oakland City Limit.....	2-40
2.2.3.4	North Oakland/Downtown Oakland Segment: Berkeley/ Oakland City Limit to 14 th Street/Broadway.....	2-40
2.2.3.5	Downtown Oakland segment: 14 th Street/Broadway to 1 st Avenue	2-41
2.2.3.6	Oakland—Eastlake District Segment: 1 st Avenue to 14 th Avenue.....	2-41
2.2.3.7	East Oakland Segment: 14 th Avenue to Oakland/San Leandro City Limit	2-43
2.2.3.8	San Leandro Segment: Oakland/San Leandro City Limit to BayFair BART or San Leandro BART	2-43
2.3	CAPITAL AND OPERATING COSTS OF BUILD ALTERNATIVES	2-44
2.3.1	Capital Costs	2-44
2.3.2	Annual Operating Costs	2-45
2.4	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND WITHDRAWN	2-47
2.4.1	Vehicle/Modal Alternatives Considered and Withdrawn	2-47
2.4.1.1	Light Rail Transit Alternative.....	2-48
2.4.1.2	Enhanced Bus Alternative	2-48
2.4.2	Alignments Considered and Withdrawn	2-49
2.4.2.1	College Avenue/Broadway Alignment	2-49
2.4.2.2	Shattuck Avenue/Telegraph Avenue Alignment.....	2-49
2.4.2.3	Foothill Boulevard/Bancroft Avenue Alignment	2-49
2.4.2.4	San Leandro Street/San Leandro Boulevard Alignment	2-50

2.4.2.5	Jack London Square Service	2-50
2.4.2.6	MacArthur BART Service	2-52
2.4.2.7	Lake Merritt via 10 th Street	2-53
2.4.2.8	Retain Two Through Traffic Lanes on International Boulevard/ East 14 th Street, 42 nd Avenue to Bayfair Drive (SR 185).....	2-54
2.4.2.9	Southern Alignment Beyond BayFair BART	2-56
Chapter 3	Transportation Analysis	3-1
3.1	TRANSIT CONDITIONS.....	3-1
3.1.1	Existing Transit Services	3-1
3.1.1.1	Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District (AC Transit)	3-1
3.1.1.2	Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART)	3-7
3.1.1.3	Other Transit Services.....	3-9
3.1.2	Future Transit Services.....	3-11
3.1.2.1	AC Transit	3-11
3.1.2.2	Future Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART).....	3-14
3.1.3	2025 No-Build Alternative Conditions	3-14
3.1.3.1	Transit Operations.....	3-14
3.1.3.2	Transit Patronage.....	3-15
3.1.3.3	Transit Travel Speeds and Times	3-15
3.1.3.4	Bus Fares	3-16
3.1.4	2025 Build Alternatives	3-16
3.1.4.1	Transit Operations.....	3-16
3.1.4.2	Service Characteristics of Build Alternatives.....	3-17
3.1.4.3	Transit Travel Times and Speeds	3-23
3.1.4.4	Transit Patronage.....	3-26
3.2	VEHICULAR TRAFFIC	3-32
3.2.1	Existing Conditions	3-33
3.2.1.1	Roadway Traffic Volumes: Existing Conditions	3-35
3.2.1.2	Intersection Levels-of-Service: Existing Conditions	3-37
3.2.2	2025 No-Build Alternative	3-39
3.2.2.1	Roadway Levels-of-Service: No-Build Conditions	3-41
3.2.2.2	Intersection Levels-of-Service: No-Build Conditions.....	3-44
3.2.3	Traffic Impacts: Build Alternatives	3-48
3.2.3.1	Roadway Impacts: Build Conditions.....	3-50
3.2.3.2	Intersection Impacts: Build Alternatives	3-53
3.2.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3-64
3.2.4.1	Mitigation of Roadway Impacts under the Build Alternatives	3-64
3.2.4.2	Mitigation of Intersection Impacts under the Build Alternatives	3-65
3.2.4.3	Summary of Mitigation.....	3-77
3.3	NON-MOTORIZED TRANSPORTATION.....	3-78
3.3.1	Pedestrian Conditions.....	3-78
3.3.1.1	Centers of Pedestrian Activity	3-78
3.3.1.2	Pedestrian Volumes	3-81
3.3.2	Bicycle Conditions	3-82
3.3.2.1	Existing and Proposed Bicycle Facilities.....	3-82
3.3.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3-87
3.3.3.1	Pedestrian Impacts.....	3-87
3.3.3.2	Bicycle Impacts	3-94
3.3.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures.....	3-95
3.4	PARKING	3-95
3.4.1	Existing Conditions	3-96
3.4.1.1	City of Berkeley	3-100
3.4.1.2	City of Oakland: North Oakland and Downtown	3-102
3.4.1.3	City of Oakland: East Oakland	3-104
3.4.1.4	City of San Leandro.....	3-105

3.4.2	Environmental Consequences	3-106
3.4.2.1	No-Build Alternative	3-106
3.4.2.2	Build Alternatives	3-107
3.4.2.3	City of Berkeley	3-110
3.4.2.4	City of Oakland	3-112
3.4.2.5	City of San Leandro	3-122
3.4.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and /or Mitigation Measures (Build Alternatives)	3-123
3.4.3.1	City of Berkeley Parking Mitigation Measures (All Build Alternatives).....	3-126
3.4.3.2	City of Oakland Parking Mitigation Measures (All Build Alternatives)	3-127
3.4.3.3	City of San Leandro Parking Mitigation Measures (Areas 15-18)	3-127
3.4.4	Parking Summary	3-128
Chapter 4 Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures		
		4-1
4.1	LAND USE	4-1
4.1.1	Existing and Future Land Use	4-1
4.1.1.1	Affected Environment	4-1
4.1.2	Environmental Consequences	4-21
4.1.3	Consistency with State, Regional, and Local Plans	4-24
4.1.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	4-26
4.2	GROWTH INDUCEMENT.....	4-26
4.2.1	Regulatory Setting	4-26
4.2.2	Affected Environment	4-27
4.2.3	Environmental Consequences	4-27
4.2.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	4-28
4.3	FARMLANDS/AGRICULTURAL LANDS	4-28
4.4	COMMUNITY IMPACTS	4-28
4.4.1	Community Character	4-29
4.4.1.1	Affected Environment	4-29
4.4.1.2	Environmental Consequences	4-39
4.4.1.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	4-40
4.4.2	Public Services and Community Facilities	4-40
4.4.2.1	Affected Environment	4-40
4.4.2.2	Environmental Consequences	4-57
4.4.2.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	4-57
4.4.3	Relocations.....	4-57
4.4.4	Environmental Justice	4-57
4.4.4.1	Regulatory Setting	4-57
4.4.4.2	Affected Environment	4-57
4.4.4.3	Environmental Consequences.....	4-61
4.4.4.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	4-62
4.4.5	Economic and Business Environment.....	4-62
4.4.5.1	Affected Environment	4-62
4.4.5.2	Environmental Consequences.....	4-62
4.4.5.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	4-64
4.5	UTILITIES	4-64
4.5.1	Affected Environment.....	4-64
4.5.2	Environmental Consequences	4-65
4.5.3	Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	4-65
4.6	VISUAL/AESTHETICS.....	4-70
4.6.1	Regulatory Setting.....	4-70
4.6.2	Affected Environment.....	4-70
4.6.2.1	Existing Visual Character and Context	4-70
4.6.2.2	Existing Visual Image Types and Viewer Groups.....	4-70
4.6.2.3	Landscape Units	4-71

4.6.2.4	Visual Quality	4-76
4.6.2.5	Roadway Landscaping and Other Urban Design Features	4-76
4.6.3	Environmental Consequences.....	4-78
4.6.3.1	Analysis of Visual Changes at Eleven Viewpoints	4-78
4.6.3.2	Consistency With General Plan Policies	4-91
4.6.3.3	Removal/Relocation of Roadway Landscaping and Other Urban Design Features	4-100
4.6.4	Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	4-101
4.7	CULTURAL RESOURCES	4-101
4.7.1	Regulatory Setting	4-101
4.7.2	Archaeological Resources.....	4-102
4.7.2.1	Affected Environment.....	4-102
4.7.2.2	Environmental Consequences	4-103
4.7.2.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-103
4.7.3	Historic Resources.....	4-104
4.7.3.1	Affected Environment.....	4-104
4.7.3.2	Environmental Consequences	4-104
4.7.3.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-105
4.8	HYDROLOGY AND FLOODPLAIN.....	4-105
4.8.1	Regulatory Setting	4-105
4.8.2	Affected Environment	4-105
4.8.2.1	Strawberry, Derby and Temescal Creeks	4-105
4.8.2.2	Merritt Channel.....	4-105
4.8.2.3	14 th Avenue, Sausal, Peralta, Arroyo Viejo and Elmhurst Creeks	4-106
4.8.2.4	San Leandro Creek and Estudillo Canal	4-106
4.8.3	Environmental Consequences.....	4-106
4.9	WATER QUALITY AND STORM WATER RUNOFF	4-106
4.9.1	Regulatory Setting	4-106
4.9.2	Affected Environment	4-107
4.9.2.1	Hydrologic Setting	4-107
4.9.2.2	Regional Water Quality	4-107
4.9.3	Environmental Consequences.....	4-108
4.9.3.1	Water Quality Impacts.....	4-108
4.9.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	4-108
4.10	GEOLOGY/SOILS/SEISMIC/TOPOGRAPHY	4-108
4.10.1	Geologic Setting	4-108
4.10.1.1	Geology and soils.....	4-108
4.10.1.2	Seismicity	4-109
4.10.2	Environmental Consequences.....	4-110
4.10.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	4-110
4.11	HAZARDOUS WASTE/MATERIALS.....	4-111
4.11.1	Affected Environment	4-111
4.11.2	Environmental Consequences.....	4-111
4.11.2.1	Environmental Risk Sites on the Project Alignment.....	4-112
4.11.2.2	Environmental Risk Sites in Close Proximity to the Project Alignment.....	4-116
4.11.2.3	Environmental Risk Sites ¼-Mile or Less Upgradient from Project Alternatives.....	4-117
4.11.3	Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	4-120
4.12	AIR QUALITY	4-120
4.12.1	Regulatory Setting	4-120
4.12.1.1	National and State Ambient Air Quality Standards	4-121
4.12.1.2	Air Quality Plans.....	4-123
4.12.1.3	Air Quality Conformity	4-123
4.12.1.4	Affected Environment.....	4-124
4.12.1.5	Existing Conditions.....	4-124
4.12.2	Air Quality Impacts.....	4-129
4.12.2.1	Methodology.....	4-129

4.12.2.2	Impact Analysis.....	4-129
4.12.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-135
4.12.4	Transportation Conformity Analysis.....	4-135
4.13	NOISE AND VIBRATION.....	4-137
4.13.1	Methodology and Criteria.....	4-137
4.13.1.1	Noise Methodology and Criteria.....	4-137
4.13.1.2	Vibration Methodology and Criteria.....	4-139
4.13.2	Existing Conditions.....	4-140
4.13.2.1	Existing Noise.....	4-140
4.13.2.2	Existing Vibration.....	4-140
4.13.3	Environmental Consequences.....	4-141
4.13.3.1	Noise Impacts.....	4-141
4.13.3.2	Vibration Impacts.....	4-150
4.13.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-150
4.13.4.1	Noise.....	4-150
4.13.4.2	Vibration.....	4-150
4.14	ENERGY.....	4-151
4.14.1	Energy Consumption.....	4-151
4.14.2	Environmental Consequences.....	4-151
4.15	BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT.....	4-152
4.15.1	Regulatory Setting.....	4-152
4.15.1.1	Federal.....	4-152
4.15.1.2	State.....	4-152
4.15.2	Affected Environment.....	4-153
4.15.3	Environmental Consequences.....	4-153
4.15.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-153
4.16	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS.....	4-153
4.16.1	Construction Stages, Schedule, and Work Hours.....	4-153
4.16.2	Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities.....	4-154
4.16.3	Community Impacts.....	4-155
4.16.3.1	Effects on Local Access.....	4-155
4.16.3.2	Effects of Construction Staging.....	4-155
4.16.4	Utilities/Service Systems.....	4-155
4.16.5	Visual/Aesthetics.....	4-156
4.16.6	Cultural Resources.....	4-156
4.16.7	Hydrology and Water Quality.....	4-157
4.16.7.1	Environmental Consequences.....	4-157
4.16.7.2	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation.....	4-157
4.16.8	Hazardous Materials.....	4-158
4.16.8.1	Environmental Consequences.....	4-158
4.16.8.2	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-158
4.16.9	Air Quality.....	4-159
4.16.9.1	Impacts.....	4-159
4.16.9.2	Avoidance Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-160
4.16.10	Noise and Vibration.....	4-161
4.16.10.1	Noise.....	4-161
4.16.10.2	Vibration.....	4-163
4.16.10.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-165
4.16.11	Biological Environment.....	4-168
Chapter 5	Cumulative Impacts.....	5-1
5.1	OVERVIEW.....	5-1
5.2	REGIONAL CONTEXT.....	5-1
5.3	LOCAL CONTEXT.....	5-2
5.4	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5-3
5.4.1	Access and Circulation Impacts.....	5-3
5.4.2	Bicycle and Parking Impacts.....	5-4

5.5	AVOIDANCE, MINIMIZATION, AND/OR MITIGATION MEASURES	5-5
5.5.1	Access and Circulation	5-5
5.5.2	Bicycle and Parking	5-5
Chapter 6	California Environmental Quality Act Evaluation	6-1
6.1	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NEPA AND CEQA	6-1
6.2	SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT’S IMPACTS UNDER CEQA	6-1
6.2.1	CEQA Criteria of Significance.....	6-1
6.2.2	CEQA Mandatory Findings of Significance	6-2
6.3	MITIGATION MEASURES FOR SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS UNDER CEQA	6-7
6.3.1	Responsible Agencies	6-7
6.4	UNAVOIDABLE SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS UNDER CEQA	6-12
Chapter 7	Consultation and Coordination	7-1
7.1	OUTREACH DURING THE MAJOR INVESTMENT STUDY	7-1
7.1.1	Public Meetings Conducted for the Major Investment Study.....	7-1
7.1.2	Stakeholder Interviews Conducted for the Major Investment Study.....	7-2
7.1.3	Technical Advisory Committee for Major Investment Study.....	7-4
7.1.4	Community Advisory Committee (CAC) for the Major Investment Study.....	7-4
7.1.5	Policy Steering Committee for the Major Investment Study	7-5
7.2	OUTREACH DURING PREPARATION OF THE DRAFT EIS/EIR	7-5
7.2.1	Public Meetings/Open Houses	7-6
7.2.1.1	Summary of Meeting Comments.....	7-8
7.2.2	Technical Advisory Committee for the Draft EIS/EIR	7-9
7.2.3	Policy Steering Committee for the Draft EIS/EIR	7-10
7.3	AGENCIES CONSULTED	7-10
7.4	PRIVATE GROUPS CONSULTED	7-12
7.4.1	Merchant Survey.....	7-12
7.5	AGENCY COORDINATION AND APPROVALS	7-13
7.5.1	Coordination and Consultation Pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.....	7-13
7.5.2	Historic Resources.....	7-13
7.5.3	Archaeological Resources.....	7-13
7.5.3.1	Tribal Coordination.....	7-14
7.6	CHRONOLOGY OF COORDINATION	7-14
7.7	ONGOING PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	7-18
7.7.1	Community Outreach.....	7-18
7.7.2	Publication of this Environmental Document	7-19
Chapter 8	Financial Analysis and Alternatives Evaluation	8-1
8.1	OVERVIEW	8-1
8.2	FUNDING FOR CONSTRUCTION COSTS	8-1
8.2.1	Committed Funding	8-1
8.2.1.1	New Bridge Toll Funding (Regional Measure 2)	8-2
8.2.1.2	Alameda County Half Cent Sales Tax (Measure B).....	8-2
8.2.1.3	Alameda County Congestion Management Agency Tip	8-3
8.2.1.4	Federal Grant	8-3
8.2.1.5	Federal STIP	8-3
8.2.1.6	SAFTEA-LU.....	8-3
8.2.2	Potential Sources of Funding.....	8-4
8.2.2.1	State Infrastructure Bond	8-4
8.2.2.2	State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)	8-4
8.2.2.3	State Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP)	8-4
8.2.2.4	FTA Small Starts Program	8-4
8.2.2.5	FTA Section 5307 – Urbanized Area Formula Funds	8-5
8.2.2.6	FTA Section 5309 – Capital Program, Discretionary Bus	8-5
8.2.2.7	Transportation Fund for Clean Air.....	8-5

8.3	FUNDING FOR OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE COSTS	8-5
8.3.1	Identified Funding.....	8-6
8.3.2	Potential Sources of Funding.....	8-7
8.3.2.1	Vehicle License Fee	8-7
8.3.2.2	Local Streets and Roads Program.....	8-7
8.3.2.3	Private Sector Funding	8-7
8.4	CASH FLOW ANALYSIS	8-7
8.5	RISK ANALYSIS	8-9
8.6	FINANCIAL ANALYSIS CONCLUSIONS.....	8-10
8.7	EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES.....	8-10
8.7.1	Improve Transit Service in the Project Corridor and Better Accommodate High Existing Bus Ridership	8-11
8.7.1.1	Improvement in Service Frequency.....	8-11
8.7.1.2	Bus Capacity in Project Corridor.....	8-15
8.7.1.3	Express bus average speeds, Boarding Times, and Travel Times.....	8-15
8.7.2	Increase Transit Ridership by Providing a Viable and Competitive Transit Alternative to the Private Automobile	8-15
8.7.2.1	Boardings and New Transit Trips	8-15
8.7.2.2	Daily Automobile Travel.....	8-16
8.7.3	Improve and Maintain Efficiency of Transit Service Delivery and Lower AC Transit's Operating Costs per Rider.....	8-16
8.7.4	Support Local and Regional Planning Goals to Organize Development along Transit Corridors and around Transit Stations	8-17
8.7.5	Other Environmental Impacts: Parking and Intersection Operations.....	8-17
8.7.6	Overall Assessment of Build Alternatives	8-19
8.7.6.1	Improve Transit Service in Project Corridor.....	8-19
8.7.6.2	Increase Transit Ridership.....	8-21
8.7.6.3	Improve and Maintain Efficiency of Transit.....	8-21
8.7.6.4	Support Local and Regional Planning Goals.....	8-22
8.7.6.5	Environmental Impacts	8-22
8.7.7	Overall Assessment of Alignment Variations	8-23
8.7.7.1	Downtown Berkeley	8-23
8.7.7.2	Berkeley Southside.....	8-23
8.7.7.3	East Oakland	8-24

APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Intersection and Roadway Traffic Conditions Tables
- Appendix B: List of Preparers
- Appendix C: Distribution List
- Appendix D: Agency Correspondence
- Appendix E: Technical Studies and References
- Appendix F: Technical Terms and Abbreviations

List of Figures

Figure S.9-1: Comparison of Build Alternatives	S-33
Figure 1.1-1: Project Location	1-3
Figure 1.1-2a: Project Vicinity.....	1-4
Figure 1.1-2b: Project Vicinity.....	1-5
Figure 1.2-1: AC Transit Bus Fleet Average Operating Speed	1-7
Figure 1.2-2: Corridor Operating and Maintenance Cost per Boarding	1-8
Figure 1.2-3: Ridership Impact of Improved Speed and Reliability	1-9
Figure 1.2-4: Intersections Operating at LOS E or F in 2025.....	1-11
Figure 1.2-5: Employment Density in the Corridor and Vicinity, 2000.....	1-13
Figure 1.2-6: Employment Growth, 2000 to 2025	1-14
Figure 1.2-7: Population Density in the Corridor and Vicinity, 2000.....	1-16
Figure 1.2-8: Corridor Population Growth, 2000 to 2025	1-18
Figure 1.2-9: Households with Incomes below the Poverty Level.....	1-20
Figure 1.3-1: MIS Objectives and DEIS/DEIR Performance Measures	1-21
Figure 2.2-1a: Major AC Transit Routes Connecting Downtown Berkeley-Downtown Oakland- San Leandro [North]	2-6
Figure 2.2-1b: Major AC Transit Routes Connecting Downtown Berkeley-Downtown Oakland- San Leandro [South].....	2-7
Figure 2.2-2a: No-Build (2025)-Route 1R Connecting Downtown Berkeley-Downtown Oakland- San Leandro [North]	2-8
Figure 2.2-2b: No-Build (2025) - Route 1R Connecting Downtown Berkeley-Downtown Oakland - San Leandro [South].....	2-9
Figure 2.2-3: Alt 1: Separate BRT and Local Service to BayFair BART	2-13
Figure 2.2-4: Alt 2: Separate BRT and Local Service to San Leandro BART	2-14
Figure 2.2-5: Alt 3: Combined BRT and Local Service to BayFair BART	2-15
Figure 2.2-6: Alt 4: Combined BRT and Local Service to San Leandro BART	2-16
Figure 2.2-7: Roadway Cross Section with BRT-only Lanes, Median Running.....	2-18
Figure 2.2-8: Roadway Cross Section with Shared BRT Lane, Side Running	2-18
Figure 2.2-9: Alignment Type/BRT Operations for Alternatives 1 and 3 (BayFair BART)	2-19
Figure 2.2-10: Alignment Type/BRT Operations for Alternatives 2 and 4 (San Leandro BART)	2-20
Figure 2.2-11: BRT Service through Downtown San Leandro, Alternatives 1 and 3.....	2-22
Figure 2.2-12: BRT Service to San Leandro BART, Alternatives 2 and 4.....	2-23
Figure 2.2-13: Schematic of Typical BRT Station (Street Median)	2-25
Figure 2.2-14: Perspective of Station in Street Median, Opposite Side Platforms.....	2-25
Figure 2.2-15: Articulated Van Hool Bus (BRT Prototype).....	2-28
Figure 2.2-16: East Bay BRT Project BRT Alignment and Alignment Variations.....	2-30
Figure 2.2-17: Downtown Berkeley Alignment Variations: Two-Way Transitway via Shattuck Ave.	2-31
Figure 2.2-18: Shattuck Avenue Parking and Median Configurations	2-33
Figure 2.2-19: Downtown Berkeley Alignment Variations: One-Way Transitway via Shattuck Ave.- Oxford Street Loop	2-34
Figure 2.2-20: Berkeley Southside Alignment Variations: Two-Way Transitway via Bancroft Way Variations.....	2-36
Figure 2.2-21: Telegraph Avenue Transit/Pedestrian Zone Cross Section	2-37
Figure 2.2-22: Two-Way Transitway via Restricted Bancroft Way.....	2-38
Figure 2.2-23: Berkeley Southside Alignment Variations: One-Way Transitway via Bancroft Way- Durant Avenue Couplet Variations	2-39
Figure 2.2-24: East Oakland Alignment Variations	2-42
Figure 2.4-1: Jack London Square Service Alignment Variation.....	2-51
Figure 2.4-2: MacArthur BART Loop Alignment Variation	2-52
Figure 2.4-3: Lake Merritt/10 th Street Alignment Variation	2-54
Figure 3.1-1a: AC Transit Service Area, Northern Portion of Project Corridor.....	3-3
Figure 3.1-1b: AC Transit Service Area, Southern Portion of Project Corridor	3-4

Figure 3.1-2: AC Transit Boardings, by Segment along Proposed Project Alignment 3-6

Figure 3.1-3: BART System Map 3-8

Figure 3.1-4: AC Transit Boardings Along Project Alignment, Existing and 2025 Forecast 3-29

Figure 3.1-5: New Trips on Transit by Build Alternative 3-30

Figure 3.2-1: Roadway Network Including MTS Facilities 3-34

Figure 3.2-2: Existing P.M. Peak Hour Volumes along Proposed BRT Alignment 3-36

Figure 3.2-3: Intersection Locations for P.M. Peak Hour LOS Analysis 3-40

Figure 3.2-4: Projected PM Peak Hour Roadway Conditions 2025 No-Build Alternative (At Survey Locations) 3-43

Figure 3.2-5: LOS E and F Intersections, No-Build Conditions (2025) 3-46

Figure 3.2-6: Projected PM Peak Hour Roadway Conditions 2025 Build Alternatives (At Survey Locations) 3-54

Figure 3.2-7: Intersections Potentially Experiencing Impacts under the Build Alternatives 3-56

Figure 3.3-1: Bicycle Network Plan 3-84

Figure 3.3-2: Transitway Configuration and BRT Operations; Alternatives 1 and 3 3-89

Figure 3.3-3: Transitway Configuration and BRT Operations; Alternatives 2 and 4 3-90

Figure 3.4-1: Parking Survey Areas 3-98

Figure 3.4-2: Median Transitway (orange) with Station (blue) and Left Turn Lanes 3-108

Figure 3.4-3: Side-Running Transitway (orange) with Curbside Station (blue) 3-109

Figure 4.1-1: Cities and Subareas in the Corridor 4-2

Figure 4.1-2: Population Density in the Corridor and Vicinity 4-6

Figure 4.1-3: Employment Density in the Corridor 4-8

Figure 4.1-4: Activity Centers and Major Destinations in the Corridor, 2000 4-9

Figure 4.4-1a: Study Area Socioeconomic Census Tracts 4-30

Figure 4.4-1b: Study Area Socioeconomic Census Tracts 4-31

Figure 4.4-2: Households Without Private Transportation 4-36

Figure 4.4-3: Berkeley, Oakland, and San Leandro Planning Areas 4-38

Figure 4.4-4a: Public and Community Facilities 4-45

Figure 4.4-4b: Public and Community Facilities 4-46

Figure 4.4-5a: Houses of Worship 4-52

Figure 4.4-5b: Houses of Worship 4-53

Figure 4.4-6a: Parks and Recreational Facilities 4-55

Figure 4.4-6b: Parks and Recreational Facilities 4-56

Figure 4.4-7: Minority Populations 4-59

Figure 4.4-8: Low-Income Populations 4-60

Figure 4.6-1: Viewpoint 1–Shattuck Avenue at Bancroft Way 4-80

Figure 4.6-2: Viewpoint 2–Bancroft Way at Telegraph Avenue 4-81

Figure 4.6-3: Viewpoint 3– Bancroft Way at Telegraph Avenue 4-82

Figure 4.6-4: Viewpoint 4–Telegraph Avenue and Webster Street 4-84

Figure 4.6-5: Viewpoint 5–49th Street at Telegraph Avenue 4-85

Figure 4.6-6: Viewpoint 6–Telegraph Avenue and 31st Street 4-87

Figure 4.6-7: Viewpoint 7–20th Street Between Broadway and Telegraph Avenue (Uptown Stn.) .. 4-88

Figure 4.6-8: Viewpoint 8–International Boulevard at 34th Avenue 4-89

Figure 4.6-9: Viewpoint 9–International Boulevard at 98th Avenue 4-92

Figure 4.6-10: Viewpoint 10–East 14th Street Between Davis and Estudillo Streets 4-93

Figure 4.6-11: Viewpoint 11–East 14th Street at 143rd Avenue 4-94

Figure 4.6-12: San Leandro Monument 4-100

Figure 4.13-1: Typical Ldn Sound Levels 4-137

Figure 8.7-1: Comparison of Build Alternatives 8-20

Figure 8.7-2: Comparison of Alignment Variations 8-24

List of Tables

Table S.2-1: Summary of BRT Alignment and Alignment Variations	S-5
Table S.3-1: Proposed AC Transit Service in the Project Corridor (No-Build Alternative).....	S-8
Table S.3-2a: Proposed AC Transit Service: Alt 1 Separate BRT and Local Service to BayFair BART (2025)	S-9
Table S.3-2b: Proposed AC Transit Service: Alt 2 Separate BRT and Local Service to San Leandro BART (2025).....	S-10
Table S.3-2c: Proposed AC Transit Service: Alt 3 Combined BRT and Local Service to BayFair BART (2025)	S-11
Table S.3-2d: Proposed AC Transit Service: Alt 4 Combined BRT and Local Service to San Leandro BART (2025).....	S-12
Table S.3-3: Summary of Performance of No-Build and Build Alternatives	S-13
Table S.3-4: Summary of Build Alternative Parking Impacts and Proposed Mitigation by Major Area	S-17
Table S.4-1: Summary of Build Alternatives Long-Term Impacts and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	S-18
Table S.4-2: Summary of Build Alternatives Construction Impacts and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	S-23
Table S.8-1: Summary of Committed Funding Sources.....	S-30
Table 1.3-1: AC Transit Rapid Bus and East Bay BRT Project Committed Funding (Capital Improvements)	1-23
Table 1.3-2: Anticipated Permits and Approvals Required	1-26
Table 2.2-1: Features of <u>Existing</u> Service along Route 1R Alignment	2-10
Table 2.2-2: Features of <u>Future</u> Service along Route 1R Alignment (2025 No-Build)	2-10
Table 2.2-3: Features of Build Alternatives Compared	2-17
Table 2.3-1: Capital Cost (\$Millions) of East Bay BRT Project	2-45
Table 2.3-2: East Bay BRT Annual Operating Costs (\$Millions, 2005).....	2-46
Table 3.1-1: Existing AC Transit Service Along the Proposed East Bay BRT Alignment (pre-August 2005).....	3-5
Table 3.1-2: Existing AC Transit Boardings Along the Proposed East Bay BRT Alignment (pre-August 2005).....	3-5
Table 3.1-3: Average Weekday BART Boardings in the Corridor ¹	3-9
Table 3.1-4: Proposed AC Transit Service in the Project Corridor (No-Build Alternative)	3-12
Table 3.1-5: Average Weekday Transit Patronage, Existing Conditions and 2025 No-Build Alternative.....	3-15
Table 3.1-6: AC Transit Travel <u>Speeds</u> between Downtown Berkeley and BayFair BART, Existing Conditions and 2025 No-Build Alternative.....	3-15
Table 3.1-7: AC Transit Travel <u>Times</u> from Downtown Berkeley to BayFair BART, Existing Conditions and 2025 No-Build Alternative.....	3-16
Table 3.1-8a: Proposed AC Transit Service: Alt 1 Separate BRT and Local Service to BayFair BART (2025)	3-18
Table 3.1-8b: Proposed AC Transit Service: Alt 2 Separate BRT and Local Service to San Leandro BART (2025).....	3-19
Table 3.1-8c: Proposed AC Transit Service: Alt 3 Combined BRT and Local Service to BayFair BART (2025)	3-20
Table 3.1-8d: Proposed AC Transit Service: Alt 4 Combined BRT and Local Service to San Leandro BART (2025).....	3-21
Table 3.1-9 Proposed AC Transit Operations (2025), No-Build and Build Alternatives.....	3-22
Table 3.1-10: AC Transit Travel <u>Speeds</u> under Existing, 2025 No-Build, and 2025 Build Alternatives: Downtown Berkeley to BayFair BART Station	3-25
Table 3.1-11: AC Transit Travel <u>Times</u> under Existing, 2025 No-Build, and 2025 Build Alternatives: Downtown Berkeley to BayFair BART Station	3-25

Table 3.1-12: Average Weekday Bus and Rail Transit Boardings, under 2025 No-Build, and 2025 Build Alternatives	3-28
Table 3.1-13: Weekday Regionwide Transit Trips Under East Bay BRT Alignment Variations (2025).....	3-31
Table 3.2-1: Planned and Programmed Projects.....	3-41
Table 3.2-2: Intersections Operating at LOS E or F under Existing (2003) and No-Build (2025) Conditions	3-45
Table 3.2-3a: Change in P.M. Peak Hour Volumes (Person Trips) Crossing Screenline North of Ashby Avenue (2025) with Implementation of East Bay BRT Project	3-51
Table 3.2-3b: Change in P.M. Peak Hour Volumes (Person Trips) Crossing Screenline South of 98 th Avenue (2025) with Implementation of East Bay BRT Project.....	3-51
Table 3.2-4: Summary of Intersections Adversely Affected: Existing Conditions, 2025 No-Build, and 2025 Build Alternatives and Their Alignment Variations, City of Berkeley	3-57
Table 3.2-5: Summary of Intersections Adversely Affected: Existing Conditions, 2025 No-Build, and 2025 Build Alternatives and Their Alignment Variations, City of Oakland.....	3-58
Table 3.2-6: Summary of Intersections Adversely Affected: Existing Conditions, 2025 No-Build, and 2025 Build Alternatives and Their Alignment Variations, City of San Leandro.....	3-60
Table 3.2-7: Intersection Levels-of-Service Before and After Mitigation, Build Alternatives (2025)	3-67
Table 3.3-1: Major Pedestrian Activity Centers along the Project Corridor	3-78
Table 3.3-2: Existing Pedestrian Volumes at Select Locations along the Project Alignment (PM Peak Period, 4:00 p.m.-5:00 p.m.)	3-82
Table 3.3-3: Bikeway Classifications	3-83
Table 3.4-1: Characteristics of Curb Parking Along and Adjacent the Project Alignment	3-101
Table 3.4-2: Parking Displaced under Alternatives 1 and 2.....	3-113
Table 3.4-3: Parking Displaced under Alternatives 3 and 4.....	3-115
Table 3.4-4: Parking Impacts of Alignment Variations to Build Alternatives 1 and 2.....	3-117
Table 3.4-5: Parking Impacts of Alignment Variations to Build Alternatives 3 and 4.....	3-118
Table 3.4-6: Parking Mitigation Proposed for Alternatives 1 and 2	3-124
Table 3.4-7: Parking Mitigation Proposed for Alternatives 3 and 4	3-125
Table 4.1-1: Distribution of Employment, Population, and Land Area in the Corridor.....	4-3
Table 4.1-2: Population Densities in the Corridor, 2000	4-7
Table 4.1-3: Major Employment/Activity Centers in the Corridor.....	4-10
Table 4.1-4: Projected Population and Employment Growth in Study Area	4-11
Table 4.1-5: Projects, Plans, and Policies—City of Berkeley: Berkeley Subarea ¹	4-16
Table 4.1-6a: Projects, Plans, and Policies—City of Oakland: North Oakland Subarea ¹	4-17
Table 4.1-6b: Projects, Plans, and Policies—City of Oakland: Oakland Central Subarea	4-18
Table 4.1-6c: Projects, Plans, and Policies—City of Oakland: San Antonio, Fruitvale, Central East Oakland, and Elmhurst Subareas.....	4-19
Table 4.1-7: Projects, Plans, and Policies—City of San Leandro and Unincorporated Alameda County: San Leandro and Ashland Subareas	4-20
Table 4.1-8: Transit Service and Facilities Characteristics with Implications for Land Use	4-22
Table 4.4-1: Ethnic Composition.....	4-32
Table 4.4-2: Household Size and Composition.....	4-33
Table 4.4-3: Household Income.....	4-34
Table 4.4-4: Households without Private Transportation.....	4-35
Table 4.4-5: Existing Public and Community Facilities in the Corridor – City of Berkeley.....	4-41
Table 4.4-6: Existing Public and Community Facilities in the Corridor – City of Oakland	4-42
Table 4.4-7: Existing Public and Community Facilities in the Corridor – City of San Leandro	4-44
Table 4.4-8: Existing Houses of Worship in the Corridor – City of Berkeley	4-47
Table 4.4-9: Existing Houses of Worship in the Corridor – City of Oakland.....	4-48
Table 4.4-10: Existing Houses of Worship in the Corridor – City of San Leandro.....	4-51
Table 4.4-11: Existing Park and Recreational Facilities in the Corridor	4-54
Table 4.4-12: Minority and Low-Income Populations in the Corridor.....	4-58
Table 4.5-1: Utilities Located in the Vicinity of Station Areas Proposed under Alternatives 1 and 2: Separate BRT and Local Service to BayFair and San Leandro BART.....	4-66

Table 4.5-2: Utilities Located in the Vicinity of Station Areas Proposed under Alternatives 3 and 4: Combined BRT and Local Service to BayFair and San Leandro BART	4-68
Table 4.6-1: Summary of Landscape Units	4-72
Table 4.6-2: Comparison of Landscape Units	4-75
Table 4.6-3: Existing and Proposed In-Street Landscaping Along the BRT Corridor	4-77
Table 4.6-4: Summary of Visual Effects from Viewpoint 1	4-79
Table 4.6-5: Summary of Visual Effects from Viewpoint 2	4-79
Table 4.6-6: Summary of Visual Effects from Viewpoint 3	4-83
Table 4.6-7: Summary of Visual Effects from Viewpoint 4	4-83
Table 4.6-8: Summary of Visual Effects from Viewpoint 5	4-83
Table 4.6-9: Summary of Visual Effects from Viewpoint 6	4-86
Table 4.6-10: Summary of Visual Effects from Viewpoint 7	4-86
Table 4.6-11: Summary of Visual Effects from Viewpoint 8	4-86
Table 4.6-12: Summary of Visual Effects from Viewpoint 9	4-90
Table 4.6-13: Summary of Visual Effects from Viewpoint 10	4-90
Table 4.6-14: Summary of Visual Effects from Viewpoint 11	4-91
Table 4.6-15: City of Berkeley Policies	4-95
Table 4.6-16: City of Oakland Policies	4-98
Table 4.6-17: City of San Leandro Policies	4-99
Table 4.10-1: Active Fault Seismicity	4-110
Table 4.11-1: Environmental Risk Sites on the AC Transit East Bay BRT Project Alternatives ...	4-112
Table 4.11-2: Environmental Risk Sites in Close Proximity and Possibly on the AC Transit East Bay BRT Project Alternatives (14 sites total)	4-116
Table 4.11-3: Environmental Risk Sites ¼-Mile or Less Upgradient from the AC Transit East Bay BRT Project Alternatives (22 sites total)	4-118
Table 4.12-1: State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards	4-121
Table 4.12-2: Pollutants Monitored at Air Monitoring Stations Near Proposed BRT Alignment ...	4-125
Table 4.12-3: 2001-2003 Criteria Pollutant Violations	4-125
Table 4.12-4: Carbon Monoxide Concentrations (Modeled for Existing Conditions) ¹	4-128
Table 4.12-5: BAAQMD Daily Operational Emissions Thresholds for the BAAB	4-129
Table 4.12-6: Federal Emissions Thresholds for Non-attainment Areas	4-130
Table 4.12-7: Alameda County Criteria Pollutant Emissions Comparison	4-131
Table 4.12-8: 2010 Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	4-132
Table 4.12-9: 2025 Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	4-133
Table 4.13-1: Noise Impact Criteria: Effect on Cumulative Noise Exposure	4-139
Table 4.13-2: Long-Term Noise Measurement Results	4-142
Table 4.13-3: Short-Term Noise Measurement Results	4-143
Table 4.13-4: Summary of Noise Impacts for Category 2 Land Uses	4-144
Table 4.13-5: Summary of Noise Impacts for Category 3 Land Uses	4-147
Table 4.13-6: Summary of Noise Impact Areas from East Bay BRT Operations (Year 2025)	4-150
Table 4.14-1: Estimated Energy Usage for Alameda County, No-Build and Build Alternatives (2025)	4-152
Table 4.16.9-1: Estimated Daily Construction Equipment Exhaust Emissions	4-160
Table 4.16.10-1: Summary of Local Noise Ordinances	4-162
Table 4.16.10-2: FTA Allowable Construction Noise Levels	4-162
Table 4.16.10-3: Typical Construction Noise Levels	4-164
Table 4.16.10-4: Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment	4-165
Table 4.16.10-5: Construction Equipment Vibration Impact Distances	4-166
Table 6.2-1: CEQA Significance Threshold for Selected Environmental Impact Categories	6-3
Table 6.3-1: Summary of Potentially Significant Impacts and Significance After Mitigation: Roadway Operations, PM Peak	6-8
Table 6.3-2: Summary of Potentially Significant Impacts and Significance After Mitigation: Intersection Operations, AM Peak	6-9
Table 6.3-3: Summary of Potentially Significant Impacts and Significance After Mitigation: Intersection Operations, PM Peak	6-10
Table 7.1-1: Stakeholder Meetings during the Major Investment Study	7-3

Table 8.2-1: Committed Funding for No-Build Alternative (Rapid Bus) and Build Alternatives 8-2
Table 8.3-1: Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs of Build Alternatives in 2025 (\$2005)..... 8-6
Table 8.7-1: Comparison of Build Alternatives with the No-Build Alternative 8-12