AC Transit MANAGEMENT **PROCEDURE**

PROCEDUF 229	RE NO.
DATE	1 12 1007
REVISION	1 13, 1987
One	NU.
REVISION	DATE
Janu	ary 9, 1989
PAGE	1 OF 7

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

1. PURPOSE:

To provide a basis for measuring targeted performance objectives designed to improve individual, unit and organizational performance, thus enabling the District to attract, motivate, reward, and retain the quality of people required to operate effectively.

11. PERSONS AFFECTED:

All management employees (excludes Board officers).

111. POLICY:

It is the policy of the District to recognize, assess, and categorize individual job performance in accordance with individual unit and organizational objectives.

IV. DEFINITIONS:

DISTINGUISHED

Performs assigned duties in a manner demonstrating mastery at every level of major responsibility. Results achieved are well beyond the level of performance rated "Exceeds Standards."

Limited to the very top performers. The truly extraordinary staff member. Consistently distinguished performance exceeding both job requirements and expectations.

PROCEDURE NO. 229

DATE: April 13, 1987

PAGE 2 OF 7

REVISION NO. One

REVISION DATE: 01/09/89

EXCEEDS STANDARDS (Excellent)

Performs assigned duties in a manner indicating exceptional understanding of essential functions. Results achieved are often better than expected of performance rated "Competent and Effective," but not of such uniqueness as to warrant a "Distinguished" rating.

This rating represents above average work. Exceeds job requirements in <u>all</u> areas.

COMPETENT AND EFFECTIVE (Satisfactory)

Performs assigned duties at an acceptable level through demonstrated application of skills.

An acceptable level of performance in all factors. Meets agreed upon criteria.

MARGINAL (Below Satisfactory)

Performance in one or more areas does not meet the requirement for a "Competent and Effective" rating. Improvement is required if acceptable results are achieved.

A marginal rating indicates does not perform at the level expected in some factors. Does not meet all criteria. However, has potential and with proper instruction and guidance, is expected to improve performance in a specified time to at least a satisfactory level. This rating indicates immediate job performance counseling, coaching and other supportive interventions to occur between the supervisor and employee.

BELOW MARGINAL

Even under close direction, performance does not demonstrate the ability and/or willingness to produce required results.

PROCEDURE NO. 229 REVISION NO. One

DATE: April 13, 1987

PAGE 3 OF 7 REVISION DATE: 01/09/89

Has significant shortcomings for present job assignments. This rating usually indicates immediate probationary status or dismissal.

NOT OBSERVED

This rating is issued to the employee who has not been functional on the job for legitimate reasons (illness, leave of absence, pregnancy, etc.).

PROBATION (Performance Appraisal)

90-day (3 month) period for employees receiving a performance rating of Below Marginal to correct performance deficiencies.

PROBATIONARY PERIOD

180 calendar day (6 month) trial period for employees who are newly hired or rehired.

TRIAL SERVICE PERIOD

180 calendar day trial period of employment of a permanent employee, beginning with the effective date of the promotion, transfer or reassignment.

PA

Performance appraisal program for non-unionized AC Transit employees.

V. RESPONSIBILITIES:

Managers and/or supervisors responsible for evaluating an employee's performance are expected to be fair, firm and consistent in applying the same rules to everyone. It is unlikely that any supervisor will have employees who are all performing excellently or, conversely, below marginal. It is the responsibility of managers and/or supervisors to ensure that each evaluation accurately reflects the employee's performance and that there is objective data to defend his/her assessment. If the Manager and/or Supervisor has followed all of the steps and recommendations outlined in the performance evaluation program, he/she has a sound, objective means in which to assess an employee's performance.

PROCEDURE NO. 229 REVISION NO. One

DATE: April 13, 1987

PAGE 4 OF 7 REVISION DATE: 01/09/89

VI. PROCEDURES:

A. PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

1. Targeted performance objectives and performance targets must be agreed upon at the onset of the review period. Once major objectives are established and agreed upon by the supervisor and employee, periodic, informal reviews (quarterly reviews are strongly recommended) should commence prior to the formal evaluation. Beginning 1989 two formal documented reviews are to occur on March 1 and August 15.

B. EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE

- 1. The performance appraisal section of the performance evaluation form must be completed by the supervisor. One of the following categories must be selected with supporting documentation that identifies the achievement or lack thereof of specific performance targets:
 - (a) Distinguished
 - (b) Exceeds Standards (Excellent)
 - (c) <u>Competent and Effective</u> (Satisfactory)
 - (d) Marginal (Below Satisfactory)
 - (e) Below Marginal
 - (f) Not Observed

C. PROBATION

1. Employees placed on probation must be notified in writing by their supervisor. The specific areas of poor performance must be identified and objectives for improvement spelled out. Normally, probationary employees are given up to 90 days to improve their performance to an acceptable level. Employees performing below marginal for more than one evaluation period should be placed on probation. Termination should occur at the end of the probationary period unless there is substantial improvement in performance.

PROCEDURE NO. 229
DATE: April 13, 1987
REVISION NO. One

PAGE 5 OF 7

REVISION DATE: 01/09/89

D. APPEALS

Following a decision on the Performance Appraisal rating and the conference with the employee, the employee can agree with the rating or request an appeal (see attached form). The employee has one (1) week or five (5) working days from the conference (in which the final rating is shared) to file an appeal.

The appeal process allows the employee to present written documented justification for reconsideration of the original rating. The appeal cycle is below.

START Employee informed of rating 5 working days

Level | Appeal is filed with Evaluator 5 working days

Level 2 Appeal is reviewed by Dept. Mgr. 5 working days

Level 3 Appeal reviewed by Director 5 working days (Grade 9 and below or if rating request is for Effective or below)

Level 4 Review by General Manager 5 working days (Grades 10 and above or if rating request is above Effective)

It should be noted that if the rating goes to Level 4, a full and exhaustive review of the submitted appeal will occur. The extensive nature of this review could result in an <u>upgrade</u>, status quo, or a <u>downgrade</u>, based on the documentation and review results. An employee's rating can be lowered if sufficient documentation is not presented to substantiate the original rating. Grades 9 and below are appealed through the Director; Grades 10 or above will go directly to the General Manager for review.

Employees who wish to appeal their ratings will not receive any increment until the appeal process is completed and the final rating warrants an increase. Retroactivity will be honored if there is to be a pay increase following the appeal process.

PROCEDURE NO. 229

DATE: April 13, 1987

REVISION NO. One

PAGE 6 OF 7

REVISION DATE:

01/09/89

E. PROMOTIONS

1. A promotion is a change from a lower to a higher paying classification that encompasses increased responsibilities and accountabilities. Employees continually performing above standard would be considered as viable candidates for promotions.

VII. GENERAL GUIDELINES

- A. The performance appraisal cycle is for 12 months (September to September).
- B. Appeals to go to General Manager only if the appeal request is higher than "Effective" or the mid-range.
- C. Employees that have received promotions or served in acting capacities are not eligible for increases unless a negative financial impact is demonstrated. This decision is at the discretion of the General Manager.
- D. Persons hired or promoted after December 31 will not be eligible for a monetary increase in the September cycle unless the 6 months of probation is completed (then only on a prorated basis).
- E. Employees who left the District during the appraisal period will not be eligible for a pay increment.
- F. All employees are to be evaluated whether they are eligible for monetary increments or not.
- G. Employees receiving a Below Marginal rating are placed on probation for 3 months. Following the 3-month documented review period, employees who improve performance are not eligible for a rate increase. Employees not improving performance can face termination.

PROCEDURE NO. 229

DATE: April 13, 1987

PAGE 7 OF 7

REVISION NO. One

REVISION DATE: 01/09/89

H. Employees receiving a marginal rating will be provided job performance counseling, coaching, etc., in preparation for the next annual review.

Pay raise percentages will be determined by the General Manager contingent on budgetary limitations.

Approved by:

Date:

AC TRANSIT DISTRICT PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL COVER SHEET AND AUTHORIZATION FOR PAY ADJUSTMENT

Operations: July 1, 1990 - June 30, 1991

All Others: September 1, 1990 - August 31, 1991

1		OUTSTANDING Performance				
ĺ		COMPETENT Perf	ormanc	:e		
•		DEVELOPMENTAL (Marginal	_	rmance *		
1		UNSATISFACTORY (Below Ma				
		NOT OBSERVED				
		as been evaluated und ating as indicated abo		Performance Appraisal System and		
EMPLOYEE	NAME			BADGE NO.		
POSITION						
DEPARTME	M					
ORGANI ZA	TION CODE _					
SUPERVIS	50R			-		
	I hereby agree wi above evaluation			I do not agree with the above evaluation rating and have appealed or will appeal it		
				I do not agree with the above evaluation rating but I have elected not to appeal it		
Employee	Signature			Date		
Supervisor	Signature			Date		
*	Definitions in par 256 reference pu	entheses are 1989-90 rposes. See BPAR N	0 eq uiva o. 256 if	lent and noted herein for BPAR No. considering use of these ratings.		
Note:	Rating definition	s on back of this forr	n			
		8 of	ŧ 1			

AC TRANSIT DISTRICT PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL PROGRAM

1990 - 1991

RATING DEFINITIONS

- OUTSTANDING: Consistently exceeds performance standards. The individual consistently exceeded performance standards in accomplishing his/her objectives and with minimum guidance. Unusual or unique contributions have been made to short and/or long range organization objectives and goals. The employee takes advantage of available opportunities to further AC Transit's interest, relationships or services. Normally, only a very limited number of individuals will qualify for this rating.
- COMPETENT: Consistently meets performance standards. The individual achieved entirely satisfactory results in most phases of his/her position. Objectives were accomplished with limited direction and resulted in significant gains in important areas. Little supervision is required. Overall performance consistently meets performance standards.
- DEVELOPMENTAL (Marginal) *: Does not consisently meet performance standards. An individual in this category of performance has not fully reached the standards of performance in accomplishing his/her objectives. Specific shortcomings should be identified and a written plan agreed upon to improve them. Even in the instances where this performance level results primarily from the employee's newness to the position, ratings for this performance level should be less than those tyically given Competent performers.
- UNSATISFACTORY (Below Marginal) *: Employee Performance is Unacceptable.

 Counseling and progressive warnings have been initiated to help the employee improve his/her performance, but the individual has been unable or unwilling to reach the standards of performance. Such individuals, after appropriate counseling, should be placed on probation and may be subject to termination. (See BPAR Nos. 254, 256, and Management Procedures).
- NOT OBSERVED: For employees who are still in a New Hire Probationary Period and others who have not been functional on the job for legitimate reasons (illness, leave of absence, pregnancy, etc.).
- Note: Employees in a New Hire Probationary Period should be evaluated as required by BPAR No. 282. Eligibility of such employees for an initial 6 month increase will be as provided in the Management Compensation Plan.
- * Definitions in parentheses are 1989-90 equivalent and noted herein for BPAR No. 256 reference purposes. See BPAR No. 256 if considering use of the ratings

SAMPLE FORMS

NOTE:

Sample forms for probationary employees and the performance appraisal form are attached. Managers can use a memo format if so desired as long as the same information is provided.

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL SYSTEM

July 1, 1988 - September 1, 1989

fó	DISTINGUISHED	
~ 6	EXCEEDS STANDARDS (Excellent)	
.₽	COMPETENT AND EFFECTI (Satisfactory)	VE
ז	MARGINAL (on probation (Below Satisfactory)	n for 3 months)
<i>"</i> 8	BELOW MARGINAL (on pr	obation for 3 months)
The employee liste Performance Apprai rating as indicate	d below has been evalusal System and has rec	ated under the eeived an evaluation
EMPLOYEE NAME	-	BADGE
DEPARTMENT		
ORG. CODE		
SUPERVISOR		
	agree with the aluation rating	I do not agree with the above evaluation rating and will be appealing my evaluatio
Employee Signa	ture	Date
Supervisor Sign (I certify that the Appraisal docume sent to Human Re	he Performance ntation has been	Date
General M	anager	- Date

PeriAppSum



PERFORMANCE PLANNING AND APPRAISAL SYSTEM

Performance Management Plan

lame	Position		Baage No.
Department	Review Peri∞d		
Targeted Performance Objectives	Performance Targets		Revisions
	:	Due	
	1		
	1		
	:	Due	
	:		
		Due	
	;		
		Due	
		500	
	•		!
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Due	
		200	
lotes:			
Employee		Date	
Supervisor		Date	

Actual Performance Results									
NOTE:	"sing the	siv raringe	raiow assas	s the t	erformance	of each o	f the and	icator	S DOTA
					<u></u>				
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
				<u> </u> 					
			<u> </u>				 		
_									
Ove	rall Rating:		guished	<u>i</u>	eds Standard	<u> </u>	ompetent	and E	fectiv
	Marginal	Belo	w Marginal		Not Observed	i			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
l			······		-	Date			
i Su	pervisor					Date			

Employee Development and Improvement Pla

Actions Proposed by Employee		
i · : }		
Signature	Date	
Actions Proposed by Supervisor		
•		
<u> </u>		
,		
	•	
Signature	Date	
Comments Regarding Appraisal and Developmen	t/Improvement Plan	
Comments Regarding Appraisal and Developmen	t/Improvement Plan	
	t/Improvement Plan	
	t/Improvement Plan	
	t/Improvement Plan Date	
Employee		
Employee Signature		
Employee Signature		
Employee Signature	Date	
Employee Signature Supervisor	Date	
Signature Supervisor Signature	Date	
Signature Supervisor Signature	Date	

RECOMMENDA	TION FOR PERMANENT E	MPLOYMENT
() ACCEPTABLE	() UNACCEPTABLE	() EXTENSION
Remarks		
	•	
Immediate Supervisor		Date
Next Level Supervisor		Date
Personnel Manager		Date
Employee's Comments		
Signature		Date

ì

AC Transit District

Name	Hire Date				
Position	Department				
Evaluation: () lst ()2nd () Month Month	3rd ()4th ()5th ()Final Month Month Month				
ACTUAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS					
ACCEPTABLE FEATURES	UNACCEPTABLE FEATURES				
,					